

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--|------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/652,868 | 08/29/2003 | | Yousef M. Jarrah | 8540G-000214 | 1008 | | |
| 27572 | 7590 | 08/11/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| | • | Y & PIERCE, | WIEHE, NATHA | WIEHE, NATHANIEL EDWARD | | | |
| P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 3745 | <u>-</u> | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--------|
| | 10/652,868 | JARRAH, YOUSEF M. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Nathan Wiehe | 3745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | <u> </u> | •• |
| Period for Reply | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. mely filed the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pr | osecution as to the meri | ts is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>4-10 and 14-21</u> are subject to restricti | on and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | Г. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1 | 21(d). |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | e Action or form PTO-15 | 2. |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | , , | , , , , , | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in Applicat | tion No | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | - | ed in this National Stage | Э |
| application from the International Bureau | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies not receiv | ea. | |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) | 4) 🔲 Interview Summan | v (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail D | Date | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

the species of Fig. 6, a radial flow impeller; and the species of Fig. 7, a mixed flow impeller.

The species are independent or distinct because radial and mixed flow impeller hold separate statuses in the art, since there flow behavior, blade design and performance are substantially different and thus the search provides serious burden on the examiner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 and 11-13 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a). A telephone call was made to Linda Deschere on 4 August 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Wiehe whose telephone number is (571)272-8648. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. and alternate Fri., 7am-4:30pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,868 Page 4

Art Unit: 3745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571)272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathan Wiehe Examiner Art Unit 3745

Matter Whole

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

8/7/06